

Questions for consultation

Question 1: - *Do you believe that current arrangements for assuring the quality of VET teaching are satisfactory?*

Given that the new qualification TAE and the current TAA are a huge improvement from the old BSZ qualification. I believe that we are a lot closer to assuring the quality of VET teaching, however I do feel that there is a deficit in pre-training of VET teachers, particularly those who have only had previous experience from industry. To be qualified to teach VET subjects in RTO's the only qualification you need is the Cert IV along with equal or higher qualifications in the content area, and current industry experience, there is no requirement that you have the interpersonal and management skills necessary to teach in a diverse environment.

Question 2: - *To what extent do the options outlined here allow teachers, RTO's and/or VET more broadly to build on existing processes to improve and ensure the quality of VET teaching?*

I believe that the options listed in the paper will give more flexibility for all areas of VET delivery to build on and improve the quality of VET teaching.

Question 3: - *Do you find helpful the paper's presentation of options in stages?*

I found the paper very easy to read and understand with its setting out in stages. It gives a clear picture of where we are now and where we could be in the future. The options and their different stages would be relevant to all areas of VET delivery and their implementation would greatly improve the assurance that the quality of VET teaching was across the board.

Question 4: - *Do you find helpful the paper's analysis of VET teaching into elements?*

I found this very helpful; there are so many elements in VET teaching that it would be unrealistic to analyze it without breaking it down to smaller chunks such as the elements discussed.

Question 5: - *Have we missed any element or might some elements be combined?*

I believe you have covered this well and I do not see any benefit from combining any of the elements, while I could see similarities in some elements, to combine them would risk important aspects of some of the elements not being considered.

Question 6: - *Is there any option that we have missed that you believe should be considered?*

I believe you have covered a broad area in the options and can not think of any more that should be considered.