

# Quality, standards and regulation: Are we there yet?

Alan Robson Vice-Chancellor The University of Western Australia



# Existing system: extensive and rigorous

- Performance reporting
  - Required under Commonwealth Funding Agreements
- Performance funding
  - eg: Australian Teaching and Learning Performance Fund
- National Protocols
- ❖ DEEWR DIISR Institutional Assessment Framework
  - Requires extensive analysis by Fed Govt Agencies and institutions



# The existing system: extensive and rigorous

- Audits
  - ❖ AUQA Audits, to be replaced by TEQSA
- Accreditation
  - Independent Professional Bodies, both domestic and international
- State Government regulation and reporting
- Research Assessment Exercises
  - \* At UWA Socrates; also The ERA Initiative
- Peer Review
  - Ensures research quality

3



# The existing system: extensive and rigorous

- Recognition of units by other universities International and domestic
- CRICOS international student requirements
- Student feedback
- Student Surveys
- Assessment of theses and capstone projects



## The existing system: extensive and rigorous

- Internal faculty, departmental and divisional reviews with external reviewers
- International ranking systems
  - Shanghai Jiao Tong World Universities;
  - Times Higher Ed Rankings
- Reputation
  - Individual reputations of institutions built up over long periods of time
  - Includes community engagement

Conclusion: There is already a vast amount of quality monitoring going on

5



## What the existing system has delivered

- A comprehensive high quality university system
- Universities aspiring to quality and excellence in:
  - Research
  - Innovation
  - Student learning
  - Teaching
  - Community and industry engagement
- Universities actively pursuing their different missions
- Demand for university places
- Demand from government and industry for university research



## What the existing system has delivered

- An international reputation of having a strong university system in research; teaching and learning; international education
- Reflected in Universities in International Rankings system
  - Shanghai Jiao Tong 17 of 38 Australian Unis in Top 500;
    7 in Top 200
  - THES 12 Australian Unis in Top 200
- Despite small size of the nation Australia performs highly on international rankings.

7



#### **Deficiencies**

- Does not generate quantifiable results
- Needs to be more effective and efficient
- ❖ Not clear to people outside the Higher Education system (nor to all within it...)
- Low public awareness of the quality system

eg. Recent AUQA audit of UWA produced outstanding result for UWA – but who would know?



#### Where we are

- An quality system exists in Australia
- The mechanisms of quality assurance and monitoring are extensive and comprehensive
- The mechanisms of the quality system need improvement

Do Australian universities need more quality related mechanisms?

9



### Standards: the current debate

AUQA discussion paper:

Setting and monitoring academic standards for Australian Higher Education

National standardisation is a common concern:

- Risks the diversity of the sector
- Stifles ability to have variations and innovations in student learning
- Inter-disciplinary standards will require system of calibration, comparability and monitoring (extremely expensive)
- May require national testing to yield usable data
- High administrative burden



### Standards: the current debate

- Standards need constant reworking or risk being limiting or out of date
- Unless set at a minima standards can be limiting:
  - "...standards cannot be designed to anticipate future excellence." (Robin McTaggart; Campus Review, 04/08/09)
- Knowledge is international standards based on national frame of reference diminish international linkages

11



#### Standards: the current debate

- Exit testing can lead to informing content of courses
- Leads to standardisation of curriculum across universities and potentially reduces diversity
- Ignores the wider university experience of students
- Ignores the diversity in learning environments
  eg. community focus of regional campuses vs global outlook of city campuses



# The experience of others

#### In the United Kingdom:

- Millions of pounds spent in government and unis
- 10 years developing subject benchmark standards that are highly variable and may have weakened quality
- NUS demanding external examiner reports published widely
- System of external examiners as a means of maintaining nationally comparable standards – thought not to be working

13



# The experience of others

#### In Denmark:

- External examiners system
- External examiners marking one third of all student assessment
- Estimated 3% of university budgets goes to external examination



# The way forward: ideal approach

- Individual institutions are responsible for developing and evaluating academic programs that reflect scholarly values, respond to student needs and serve community interests (i.e. reflect their values, culture and mission)
- A wide diversity of educational inputs, methods and levels of performance is both desirable and necessary
- Choosing not to pursue common national standards does not mean that academic standards are abandoned

15



# The way forward if standards are a must

#### Role of Government:

 To ensure educational qualifications reach a minimum threshold standard

#### Role of universities:

To demonstrate how well their graduates perform and exceed the minimum standards



# Basic principles if adopting a standards approach

- Benefits must exceed the cost and administrative burden
- Approach must be conceptually sound
- System must be workable
- System must enable and reflect diversity
- Universities must remain autonomous

17



#### We must avoid:

- Standardisation of the sector
- Standard external testing
- Quality assurance or standards regime informing funding decisions across all facets of university activity



# Questions and discussion



www.zone.uwa.edu.au